

CQC is the independent regulator of all health and social care in England. We are given powers by the government to register, monitor and inspect all health and care services.

The Lantern Community

Four Meadows

Inspection summary

CQC carried out an inspection of this care service on 27 January 2023 and 31 January 2023. This is a summary of what we found.

Overall rating for this service	Good •
Is the service safe?	Good
Is the service well-led?	Good

We expect health and social care providers to guarantee people with a learning disability and autistic people respect, equality, dignity, choices and independence and good access to local communities that most people take for granted. 'Right support, right care, right culture' is the guidance CQC follows to make assessments and judgements about services supporting people with a learning disability and autistic people and providers must have regard to it.

About the service

Four Meadows is provided by The Lantern Community and is a supported living service providing personal care to 23 people at the time of the inspection. This service provides care and support to people living in 6 'supported living' settings, so that they can live in their own home as independently as possible. People's care and housing are provided under separate contractual agreements. People shared their homes with volunteer support workers called co-workers. CQC does not regulate premises used for supported living; CQC only inspects where people receive personal care. This is help with tasks related to personal hygiene and eating. Where they do, we also consider any wider social care provided.

People's experience of using this service and what we found Right Support:

People and relatives told us how they or their family member could choose how they wanted to live and received the support they needed to do this. Staff encouraged and supported people's choice and independence.

People were supported to have maximum choice and control of their lives and staff supported them in the least restrictive way possible and in their best interests; the policies and systems in the service supported this practice.

People were encouraged and supported to maintain a healthy lifestyle and supported to enjoy a



wide variety of hobbies and interests which maintained their sense of well-being.

Risks were well managed with the least possible restriction and people were well supported to maintain and develop an independent lifestyle and lead active lives.

Right Care:

Care and support were provided according to people's individual needs and wishes. Staff ensured people's privacy and dignity were respected. People felt safe with staff and enjoyed spending time with staff who they knew well. Staff had a good understanding of people's care needs and ensured care and support was personalised.

Risk assessments provided up to date guidance and information for staff. They gave clear information for how staff could ensure people made informed choices and lived their best lives.

Staff had training on how to recognise and report potential abuse. There were enough staff on each shift to ensure people were supported safely. Staff received a robust induction and completed specialist training, to ensure their knowledge remained current.

Right Culture:

People, relatives and staff had confidence in the leadership of the service and felt it was well-led. The registered manager and provider-maintained oversight of the service through regular conversations with people, relatives and staff as well as through a programme of quality assurance audits to ensure the service was working to the provider's policies and procedures.

The provider's monitoring processes were effective in helping to ensure people consistently received good quality care and support. Staff and volunteers knew and understood people well and were responsive to their needs. People and those important to them were involved in planning their care.

The registered manager demonstrated joint working with health professionals which provided specialist support to people, involving their families and other professionals as appropriate. Staff demonstrated good understanding around providing people with person centred care and spoke knowledgably about how people preferred their care and support to be given.

People, relatives and staff felt confident in raising any concerns with the registered manager and told us any concerns would be listened to and acted upon.

Staff and relatives spoke of an open, supportive and friendly culture within the service, that placed people and their needs at the heart of the service.

For more details, please see the full report which is on the CQC website at www.cqc.org.uk.

Rating at last inspection

The last rating for this service was good (published 7 March 2018).

Why we inspected

This inspection was prompted by a review of the information we held about this service. As a result we undertook a focused inspection to review the key questions of safe and well-led only.

For those key questions not inspected, we used the ratings awarded at the last inspection to calculate the overall rating.



The overall rating for this service has remained good based on the findings of this inspection.

You can read the report from our last comprehensive inspection, by selecting the 'all reports' link for Four Meadows on our website at www.cqc.org.uk.

Follow up

We will continue to monitor information we receive about the service, which will help inform when we next inspect.

You can ask your care service for the full report, or find it on our website at www.cqc.org.uk or by telephoning 03000 616161